

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
01/11/2002	Naotake Shuto	SAS-0206	6640
90 10/25/2004		EXAM	INER
vitch		MORGAN,	EILEEN P
MAN & GRAUER, PLLC t. NW. Suite 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036		3723	<u> </u>
	01/11/2002 00 10/25/2004 witch MAN & GRAUER, PLLC 1, NW, Suite 501	01/11/2002 Naotake Shuto 00 10/25/2004 witch MAN & GRAUER, PLLC t, NW, Suite 501	01/11/2002 Naotake Shuto SAS-0206 00 10/25/2004 EXAM witch MORGAN, MAN & GRAUER, PLLC t, NW, Suite 501 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	055 4-4 0	10/042,204	SHUTO, NAOTAKE	/
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	abla
		Eileen P Morgan	3723	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	••
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to receive the mail reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a nepty within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	January 2002.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
	application from the International Bure		10001104 III and Italional Glago	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.	
		•		
Attachmen	at(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following lack antecedent basis: Cl.1, 'the culet', 'the gemstone', 'the upper opposite sides', 'the adjacent triangular', the lower opposite', 'the confronting lower', 'the adjacent lower-main facets'; Cl. 6, 'their' (what does 'their' refer to?)

- CI. 1, the term 'adjoining' is unclear. Should it be 'adjoin'?
- CI. 4, 'each side of the square table' is confusing since it could be square or rectangular. The claim should recite '....wherein the table is square, and each side of the square table...' What unit is being claimed? What does 'unit lengths' mean?
 - Cl. 5, what does 'it' refer to? How does claim 5 further limit claims 3 or 4?
 - Cl. 6, 'inward or outward' is relative to what?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 3723

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schachter et al.-6,615,611.

Schachter discloses a diamond having a table (44), girdle facets and main facets arranged as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schachter et al.-6,615,611.

Schachter discloses the claimed invention except for arranging a plurality of diamonds side by side. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to arrange more than one diamond in a setting, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,204 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P Morgan whose telephone number is 703.308.1743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (Office), Friday (Work at home).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703.308.2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E. Morgan October 21, 2004

EILEEN P. MORGAN